

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

TONY AND BARBARA
PATRINICOLA,

Plaintiff,

v.

NAVY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:23-cv-5137 MJP

ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR A NEW JUDGE

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for a New Judge. (Dkt. No. 26.) Plaintiffs are proceeding pro se. The substance of Plaintiffs' Motion asks for a status report on their case and suggests that if a hearing has yet to be scheduled than Plaintiffs request a new judge. (Motion at 1.) Following this, Plaintiff Tony Patrinicola sent a letter stating he was delayed in receiving a copy of the Court's Order requiring the parties to submit a joint status report (Dkt. No. 24), and therefore did not realize the Court had acted prior to his Motion. (See Letter filed by Tony Patrinicola (Dkt. No. 27.) In a later letter treated as Plaintiffs' Reply brief, Mr. Patrinicola clarifies that his initial letter, which was treated as a motion for a new judge, was

1 not in fact a motion and Plaintiffs are not requesting a new judge. For this reason, the Court finds
2 the Motion MOOT.

3 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

4 Dated July 19, 2023.

5 

6 Marsha J. Pechman
7 United States Senior District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24